

Chapter 1 General Rules

1.1. Purpose of the Rules of Procedure

The purpose of the Rules of Procedure is to define the rules and guidelines for the ‘2018 International Model UN Human Rights Council Universal Periodic Review (hereinafter I-Model UN UPR).

1.2. Purpose of I-Model UN UPR

I-Model UN UPR is authentic simulation education program of the UN Human Rights Council UPR, which aims to increase the understanding of the youth on the importance of the UPR process as an effective mechanism to strengthen the national human rights system and to provide basis of regional cooperation and to broaden their perspective of the world through the interactive discussion of current human rights challenges faced in Republic of Korea (ROK), Democratic People’s Republic of Korea (DPRK) China and Japan. In addition, the youth can develop academic and practical skills and leadership ability through preparing a negotiation agenda, meeting new friends from neighboring countries with similar interests, and experiencing diplomatic languages and atmosphere.

1.3. Thematic issues of I-Model UN UPR

The topic of I-Model UN UPR is focused on reviewing the situation of human rights in ROK, DPRK, China and Japan particularly about Freedom of Opinion and Expression, Human Trafficking, Rights of the Children, Climate Change and Environment, and Gender Inequality and Violence.

1.4. Date and Venue

The finals of I-Model UN UPR are scheduled to take place from Aug 1 to 2, 2018 (all-day event) at Global Conference Hall (B1), Centennial Memorial Samsung Hall of Korea University (Anam Campus) in Seoul, Republic of Korea.

1.5. Co-organizers and Sponsors

1.5.1. I-Model UN UPR is co-organized by Human Asia, Korea University Human Rights Center, City University of Hong Kong, International Christian University, and Ritsumeikan University in Japan.

1.5.2. I- Model UN UPR is sponsored by Ministry of Foreign Affairs, UN Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner and The Daesan Agriculture Foundation.

1.6. Definition of Terms

The definitions of the terms used in I-Model UN UPR are as follows.

1.6.1. A ‘Finalist’ is one who is qualified and advanced to the finals through evaluation of application.

1.6.2. A ‘Finalist Team’ consists of 2 finalists per team, and each team shall take on the role of both ‘State under Review’ and a ‘Recommending State of the UPR’.

1.6.3. A ‘State under Review’ is one of the following 4 countries that undergo review in each session of the finals: Republic of Korea (South Korea), Democratic People’s Republic of Korea (North Korea), China and Japan.

1.6.4. A ‘Delegation’ shall represent each State under Review and respond of questions from Recommending States of the UPR. The delegation of each State under Review shall consist of up to 5 teams and will undertake the roles of government of respective country chosen prior to the finals.

1.6.5. A ‘Recommending State of the UPR’ shall take on the role of a state other than States under Review, and will raise questions, comments and make recommendations to the delegation of State being reviewed during the sessions of the finals.

1.6.6. An ‘Observer’ shall not take part in the finals but may observe I-Model UN UPR before or on the day of the finals, after the registration.

1.6.7. ‘UPR Secretariat’ refers to the staff of the co-organizers preparing and organizing I-Model UN UPR.

1.6.8. ‘UPR Chair Group’ refers to the President and the Secretary who lead the session during I-Model UN UPR.

1.6.9. ‘Official Website’ refers to I-Model UN UPR official homepage (www.modelupr.com).

1.7. Eligibility

1.7.1. Applicants must satisfy the age restriction between age of 19 to a maximum age of 35 years old at the time of application.

1.7.2. Applicants from all nationalities may apply and teammates are not limited to have the same organization or school affiliation, degree program (undergraduate/graduate) or major.

1.8. Organization and Language

1.8.1. The official language is English.

1.8.2. The Finals consist of I-Model UN UPR and Human Rights Essay Contest, which will be evaluated independently.

1.9. Human Rights Essay Contest

1.9.1. Human Rights Essay Contest is a part of I-Model UN UPR, which aims to help participants to develop their ability to articulate social issues from a human rights perspective by writing an essay

about human rights issues.

1.9.2. The contest shall be an individual participation⁵ and evaluation.

1.9.3. Participants are free to choose the topic regardless of his/her role of State under Review in I-Model UN UPR finals, but limited to the issues they represent in I-Model UN UPR finals requested to write an essay with their own perspective.

1.9.4. Human Rights Essay Contest shall be independently evaluated from the UPR finals.

1.9.5. Only 1 participant in each State under Review with the highest score shall be selected, and advanced to the finals for presentation.

1.9.6. The final rankings shall be determined after the presentation by the 4 individuals at the finals.

Chapter 2 Procedure of Finals

2.1. Application

2.1.1. Forming 2 persons per team, Applicants shall use Online Application system on our official website to apply.

2.1.2. Single applicant may also apply. In this case, the UPR Secretariat may choose a partner from single applicants at our discretion.

2.1.3. Applicants shall also provide personal information as well as a personal statement, and submit answers to the multiple choices, short-answer and essay questions in the Call for Application.

2.2. Evaluation of Application

2.2.1. The Jury shall select 40 finalists (20 teams) based on the review of applications submitted.

2.2.2. Finalists shall be primarily selected in the order of scored, and the diversity of nationality, region, and school will be considered.

2.2.3. In case of vacancy in the finalists due to the cancellation or non-attendance to the finals, a waiting list of a person or a team shall be additionally selected at the time of or after selecting finalists.

2.3. Composition of Finalists

2.3.1. All finalists shall compose 2 persons team and take on the government delegation role of both State under Review and Recommending State of the UPR.

2.3.2. Each State under Review shall be represented by 5 teams.

2.3.3. Each State under Review shall select 1 (one) Head of Delegation and 1 (one) Deputy Head of Delegation.

2.3.4. The role of Recommending States of the UPR shall be assigned to each team by the UPR Secretariat and be announced at the Orientation and on our official website.

2.4. Format of the Finals: I-Model UN UPR

2.4.1. The finals shall be conducted for two consecutive days with total of 4 review sessions in the following order: Republic of Korea, China, Japan and Democratic Peoples' Republic of Korea.

2.4.2. Each day will have two review sessions.

2.4.3. Each session shall be given a maximum of 100 minutes (1 hour and 40 minutes) including opening statement, and two rounds of questions and responses.

2.4.4. The Head of Delegation of each State under Review shall make on opening statement as the review session begins.

2.4.5. Each session shall proceed with questions from government delegation of Recommending State of the UPR, and responses from the delegations of State under Review.

2.4.6. The order of questions and responses will be the same for the two rounds of questions and responses, and be as following order: Recommending state's Question addressed to Government Delegation of "Freedom of Expression" → response by Government Delegation of "Freedom of Expression" → Recommending State's question addressed to Government Delegation of "Human Trafficking" → response by Government Delegation of "Human Trafficking" → Recommending State's question addressed to Government Delegation of "Rights of Children" → response by Government Delegation of "Rights of Children" → Recommending State's question addressed to Government Delegation of "Climate change and Environment" → response by Government Delegation of "Climate change and Environment" → Recommending State's question addressed to Government Delegation of "Gender Inequality and Violence" → response by Government Delegation of "Gender Inequality and Violence".

2.4.7. After two sessions of each day, each State undergone the review will have 30 minutes to prepare the closing statement, which shall be delivered by the Deputy Head of Delegation of each State under Review.

2.5. Team Organization of State under Review Delegation

2.5.1. Delegation of each State under Review shall consist of 5 teams, and the role and assigned topic of each team is as follows.

Topic	Government sector			
	ROK	DPRK	China	Japan
1 Freedom of Expression	Ministry of the Interior and Safety	Ministry of Internal Affairs	General Administration of Press and Publication	Ministry of Justice
	Ministry of Science and ICT	State Science and Tech Commission	Ministry of Industry and Information Technology	Ministry of Foreign Affairs
2 Human Trafficking	Ministry of Foreign Affairs	Ministry of Foreign Affairs	Ministry of Civil Affairs	Ministry of Justice
	Ministry of Justice	Ministry of Justice		Ministry of Foreign Affairs
	National Police Agency	State Security Department		
3 Rights of the Children	Ministry of Education	Ministry of Common Education, Higher Education	National Working Committee on Children and Women	Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare
	Ministry of Health and Welfare	Ministry of Public Health		Ministry of Justice
4 Climate change and the Environment	Ministry of Environment	Ministry of Land and Environment Protection	Ministry of Ecology and Environment	Ministry of the Environment
	Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport	Ministry of Land and Maritime Transport		Ministry of Foreign Affairs
	Ministry of Oceans and Fisheries	Ministry of Fisheries		
5 Gender Inequality and Violence	Ministry of Gender Equality and Family	Ministry of Labor	All-China Women's Federation	Cabinet Office
	Ministry of Employment and Labor			Ministry of Justice

2.6. Team Organization of Recommending State of the UPR Delegation

2.6.1. The role of each Recommending State of the UPR shall be randomly drawn by the UPR Secretariat as follows.

ROK 1 USA	DPRK 1 Brazil	China 1 Russia	Japan 1 United Kingdom
ROK 2 Cuba	DPRK 2 Vietnam	China 2 Ecuador	Japan 2 Spain
ROK 3 Indonesia	DPRK 3 New Zealand	China 3 Mongolia	Japan 3 Mexico
ROK 4 Australia	DPRK 4 Fiji	China 4 Solomon Islands	Japan 4 Philippines
ROK 5 Rep. of S. Africa	DPRK 5 Jordan	China 5 Iran	Japan 5 Algeria

*Numerals of State under Review refers to the corresponding government sector.

2.7. National Report

2.7.1. The National Report shall include overview of recent human rights situation of the state, information on topics raised, and recommendation and implementation status of the state's most recent UPR.

2.7.2. The National Report shall be circulated to Recommending State of the UPR, posted on the official website, and presented as an opening statement in the beginning of the session.

2.7.3. Each State under Review will submit one National Report in the designated due date.

2.8. Outcome Report

2.8.1. The Outcome Report shall include responses from questions, comments, and recommendation raised during the review.

2.8.2. The Outcome Report shall be finalized through discussion of all delegations of each State under Review based on the draft submitted in advance.

2.8.3. The final Outcome Report shall be presented as a closing statement after the review.

2.8.4. Each State under Review will submit the draft of Outcome Report in the designated due date.

2.9. Advance and Additional Questions

2.9.1. Each Recommending State of the UPR is required to submit one advance question and one additional question per each topic assigned (6 questions in total for three different topics) as follows.

State under Review: ROK (Respond to questions)	Recommending States of the UPR (Raise questions)	State under Review: China (Respond to questions)	Recommending States of the UPR (Raise questions)
ROK 1	Iran	China 1	Cuba
	Spain		Mexico
	New Zealand		Fiji
ROK 2	Russia	China 2	USA
	Mexico		Algeria

	Brazil		Jordan
ROK 3	Solomon Islands	China 3	Rep of S. Africa
	United Kingdom		Philippines
	Fiji		Vietnam
ROK 4	Ecuador	China 4	Indonesia
	Algeria		Spain
	Jordan		Brazil
ROK 5	Mongolia	China 5	Australia
	Philippines		United Kingdom
	Vietnam		New Zealand
State under Review: Japan (Respond to questions)	Recommending States of the UPR (Raise questions)	State under Review: DPRK (Respond to questions)	Recommending States of the UPR (Raise questions)
Japan 1	Indonesia	DPRK 1	Rep of S. Africa
	Ecuador		Mongolia
	Jordan		Philippines
Japan 2	Rep of S. Africa	DPRK 2	Australia
	Solomon Islands		Iran
	New Zealand		United Kingdom
Japan 3	Australia	DPRK 3	USA
	Iran		Ecuador
	Brazil		Algeria
Japan 4	USA	DPRK 4	Cuba
	Mongolia		Russia
	Vietnam		Mexico
Japan 5	Cuba	DPRK 5	Indonesia
	Russia		Solomon Islands
	Fiji		Spain

*Numerals of State under Review refers to the corresponding government sector.

2.9.2. The contents of the questions cannot be overlapped to those of the questions addressed by other Recommending States of the UPR.

2.9.3. The revision of the advance questions submitted will be requested if overlapping questions, on a first-come first-served basis.

2.9.4. Questions shall include the stance of each Recommending State of the UPR and focus on human rights situation and issues of the pertinent state.

2.9.5. Questions must be directly related to the assigned topic and the relevance must be evident based on the content of the question.

2.9.6. When introducing numerous sub-questions for a single question, they must be closely related and must discuss the same topic and issue.

2.9.7. Each Recommending State of the UPR may pose additional questions, recommendations or commentary, which are not included in advance questions submitted, only after posing those submitted, and only if time remains for questions, and answers.

2.9.8. Each Recommending State of the UPR shall submit advance questions in the designated due date.

2.9.9. Questions submitted in advance will be forwarded to the Jury for reference.

2.10. Time Limit

2.10.1. The speaking time given for each advance question and additional question from each Recommending State of the UPR is 1 minute.

2.10.2. The speaking time given for the response thereto from the relevant governmental delegation of the State under Review is 2 minutes.

2.10.3. Responses shall be made immediately after each question; approximately 15 seconds will be given for the preparing the response. After 15 seconds, the UPR Chair Group shall order to commence the delegation response.

2.10.4. In the first round of advance question, only one question and one response shall be raised.

2.10.5. In the second round of additional question, more than one question and response shall be made within the speaking time.

2.10.6. In the second round of additional question, 1) if the Recommending State under Review wishes to make multiple questions by making use of the remaining speaking time left after the additional question posed, it should indicate so by replacing the nameplate to upright position, 2) if the corresponding remaining speaking time for the response from the State under Review is deemed insufficient by the UPR Chair Group, the Recommending State of the UPR may be instructed not to pose follow-up questions, but to confine its intervention to comments or recommendations only.

2.10.7. All interventions should finish with the phrase “thank you”.

2.11. Format of the Finals: Human Rights Essay Contest

2.11.1. Human Rights Essay Contest shall be an individual participation and evaluation.

2.11.2. The Topic will be posted on the official website after orientation as follows. *Choose one specific human rights issue of the country that you are represented in I-Model UN UPR, and think of how to be solved through the discussion of advantages and disadvantages in using the international human rights protection mechanism.*

2.11.3. Considering the main content and characteristics of the chosen human rights issue, the importance of the topic and the reason for discussion should be appeared clearly, and specific needs and measures should be addressed in order to solve the human rights issue that you choose in the essay.

2.11.4. Source of citation should be clearly revealed, and in case of plagiarism the essay shall be excluded from the review.

2.11.5. After the preliminary essay evaluation, 4 individuals shall make public presentations at the finals.

2.11.6. Each individual will make 5-minute presentation followed by questions from the Jury.

2.11.7. Final rankings shall be decided after the presentation at the finals.

Chapter 3 Evaluation

3.1. Jury

The jury shall be composed with individuals who have expertise in the UPR, UN or international human rights mechanisms.

3.2. Assessment of I-Model UN UPR Finals

3.2.1. The scoring of the finals shall be composed of two parts: an independent team score and overall delegation score of the same State under Review.

3.2.2. A total of 140 points for the finals may be given, and distributed to 120 points for individual team score, and 20 points for overall team score for each State under Review.

3.2.3. The individual team points (120 points) distribution is as follows.

- (1) For the role of Government Delegation of the State under Review (55 points)
- (2) For the role of Recommending State of the UPR (45 points, 15 points for each, three times)

- (3) Human rights knowledge and attitude (5 points for each (1) and (2))

3.2.4. State under Review Team Points (20 points) distribution is as follows and all teams within the same State under Review shall receive identical points.

- (1) Teamwork within delegation (10 points)
- (2) Opening/Closing statements (10 points; 5 points each)

3.2.5. The UPR Secretariat is able to deduct points for non-compliance once all finalists are confirmed. Details on deduction of points are stipulated in 'Delegation Handout' and finalists are obliged to be fully aware of it beforehand.

3.3. Determinations of Ranking

3.3.1. The Jury determines the ranking according to the number of points received per team in the finals.

3.3.2. The Jury considers the penalty points due to non-compliance of the procedures before making a final decision.

3.3.3. When the final points are equal, 1) penalty points due to non-compliance of procedures, 2) points in the written statement and multiples choice in the preliminary review for the selection of participants in the finals will be the basis of the decision.

3.4. Assessment of Human Rights Essay Contest

3.4.1. In the preliminary review stage, Jury will select one essay from each country (ROK, Japan, China, and DPRK) to advance public presentation at the finals.

3.4.2. All jury members will cross-review and grade the final 4 essays.

3.4.3. At the finals, Jury members will evaluate the presentation of each individual essay including Q&As.

3.4.4. The scoring of Human Rights Essay Contest at the finals shall be composed of two parts: paper score (70 percent) and presentation (30 percent).

3.4.5. The Jury determines ranking according to total points individually received in the human rights essays evaluation.

3.4.6. When the final points of human rights essays are equal, penalty points due to non-observance of guidelines will be the basis of the decision.

3.5. Non-disclosure of the Assessment

The Jury shall not disclose the assessment and consensus-reaching process.

Chapter 4 Awards

4.1. Awards for I-Model UN UPR

4.1.1. Two teams with the highest total score will be awarded the grand prize from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of ROK and the UN Human Rights Office, Seoul.

4.1.2. Third to fourth-place teams will be awarded the Co-organizers' Award from 5 co-organizers (Human Asia, Korea University of Human Rights Center, City University of Hong Kong, International Christian University, Ritsumeikan University)

4.1.3. Five teams of the same State under Review with the highest team score will be awarded Best Teamwork Award.

4.2. Awards for Human Rights Essay Contest

4.2.1. An individual with the highest score will be given the Grand Prize in the Human Rights Essay Contest.

4.2.2. Second to fourth-place individuals will be given the Co-Organizers' Awards.

Chapter 5 Supplementary Rules

5.1. Outstanding Finals and opening and reversion of Documentation

The UPR Secretariat may publicize some of the sessions at the finals, written questions and responses, human rights essays, and individual or team pictures through print, SNS, or online, on all co-organizers and sponsors' Official Website, Facebook, and Official Website of I-Model UN UPR.

5.2. Communication with Participating Teams

The UPR Secretariat shall communicate with participating teams only through the official website (www.modelupr.com) and UPR Secretariat official email address (info@modelupr.com). Participating teams is responsible for any disadvantages or penalty due to the failure to check the information in time.

5.3. Withdrawal of Award

All participating team is required to attend all events including the closing ceremony, and the absence can cause the withdrawal of any prize.

5.4. Specific Rules

5.4.1. Details on the orientation and duties of participants are provided in the Delegation Handout and Official Website (www.modelupr.com).

5.4.2. Details on the scoring of the finals are provided in the Jury Guidelines.